

THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO REDUCE AND ALLOW CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF THE ONE HUNDRED NINETY-FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIM(S).

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
DEBTORS' COUNSEL, TERESA BRADY, AT 212-310-8093.**

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Robert J. Lemons
Penny P. Reid
Ralph I. Miller

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON DEBTORS' ONE HUNDRED NINETY-FIRST
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (VALUED DERIVATIVE CLAIMS)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 12, 2011, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), filed their one hundred ninety-first omnibus objection to claims (the "Debtors' One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims"), and that a hearing (the "Hearing") to consider the Debtors' One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus

Objection to Claims will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 601 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **October 27, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Debtors' One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and served in accordance with General Order M-399, and on (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) attorneys for the Debtors, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Robert Lemons, Esq., Penny Reid, Esq., and Ralph Miller, Esq.); (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Elisabeth Gasparini, Esq. and Andrea Schwartz, Esq.); and (iv) attorneys for the official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in these cases, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York, New York 10005 (Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq., Dennis O'Donnell, Esq., and Evan Fleck, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **October 12, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the "Response Deadline").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Debtors' One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims or any claim set forth thereon, the Debtors may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Debtors' One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: September 12, 2011
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons
Robert J. Lemons
Penny P. Reid
Ralph I. Miller

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Robert J. Lemons
Penny P. Reid
Ralph I. Miller

Attorneys for Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*, : 08-13555 (JMP)
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

**DEBTORS' ONE HUNDRED NINETY-FIRST OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (VALUED DERIVATIVE CLAIMS)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO REDUCE AND ALLOW CERTAIN
FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS ONE
HUNDRED NINETY-FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR
NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS
OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO
TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR
CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
DEBTORS' COUNSEL, TERESA BRADY, AT 212-310-8093.**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI”) and its affiliated debtors, in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “Debtors”), respectfully represent:

Relief Requested

1. The Debtors file this one hundred ninety-first omnibus objection to claims (the “One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims”) pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim filed in these chapter 11 cases (the “Procedures Order”) [Docket No. 6664], seeking entry of an order reducing and allowing the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto.

2. The Debtors have examined the proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A and have determined that the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit A (collectively, the “Valued Derivative Claims”) should be reduced and allowed on the basis that the amounts listed on the proofs of claim are greater than the fair, accurate, and reasonable values determined by the Debtors after a review of the claimant’s supporting documentation and the Debtors’ books and records. The Debtors, therefore, request that the Court reduce, as appropriate, each such claim to the amount listed on Exhibit A under the column heading “*Modified Amount*”, and allow each such claim only to the extent of such modified amount.

3. The Debtors reserve all their rights to object on any other basis to any Valued Derivative Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein.

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Background

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter, LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b). The Debtors are authorized to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

6. On September 17, 2008, the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the "U.S. Trustee") appointed the statutory committee of unsecured creditors pursuant to section 1102 of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Creditors' Committee").

7. On January 19, 2009, the U.S. Trustee appointed Anton R. Valukas as Examiner in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Examiner") and by order, dated January 20, 2009 [Docket No. 2583], the Court approved the U.S. Trustee's appointment of the Examiner. The Examiner has filed his report pursuant to section 1106(b) of the Bankruptcy Code [Docket No. 7531].

8. On July 2, 2009, this Court entered an order setting forth procedures for filing proofs of claim in these chapter 11 cases, including procedures for filing proofs of claim and supporting documentation for claims based on derivative contracts (the “Bar Date Order”) [Docket No. 4271]. The Bar Date Order provided that “each holder of a claim against a Debtor based on amounts owed pursuant to any Derivative Contract must: . . . complete the electronic Derivative Questionnaire [and] electronically upload supporting documentation on the website” (Bar Date Ord. at 7.) The Bar Date Order further provided that “each holder of a claim against a Debtor based on a Guarantee by a Debtor of the obligations of a non-Debtor entity under a Derivative Contract must [also]: . . . complete the electronic Guarantee Questionnaire and electronically upload supporting documentation on the website” (*Id.* at 8.) A copy of the Bar Date Order was made publicly available at <http://www.lehman-docket.com>.

9. Exhibit C to the Bar Date Order was a version of the Derivative Questionnaire, which required that the claimant provide various information in support of its claim, such as copies of relevant agreements; a copy of the termination notice; a valuation statement; individual trade-level detail; trade value methodology and quotations; and unpaid amounts, collateral, and other costs associated with the claim pursuant to the derivative contract. Also attached to the Bar Date Order was Exhibit D, a version of the Guarantee Questionnaire setting forth the information forming the basis of the claimant’s assertions of a guarantee.

10. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the Debtors, among other things, to file omnibus objections to no more

than 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

The Valued Derivative Claims Should be Reduced and Allowed

11. In reviewing the claims filed on the claims register in these cases and maintained by the Court-appointed claims agent, the Debtors have identified the claims on Exhibit A as being claims that should be reduced and allowed on the basis that the amounts listed on the proofs of claim are greater than the fair, accurate, and reasonable values determined by the Debtors after a review of the claimant's supporting documentation and the Debtors' books and records.

12. A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim's essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

13. The Valued Derivative Claims listed on Exhibit A should be reduced and allowed in the amount listed on Exhibit A under the column heading "*Modified Amount*" because the asserted claim amount is greater than the fair, accurate, and reasonable value of the claim as determined by the Debtors after a review of the supporting documentation provided by the claimants and the Debtors' books and records.

14. The Debtors have developed and currently utilize a thorough, multi-step process to review claims filed against the Debtors and based on a Derivative

Contract¹ (“Derivative Claims”) in order to determine the fair, accurate, and reasonable value of such claims for purposes of settlement (the “Proposed Settlement Amount”). In order to determine the Proposed Settlement Amount, the Debtors: (i) collect and review documents related to the relevant Derivative Claim including, but not limited to, the relevant Derivative Questionnaire and/or Guarantee Questionnaire, the termination notice, and the valuation statement; (ii) reconcile posted collateral and any cash payments already received, made, or missed; and (iii) review the valuation methodology used by the claimant to determine the value of the claim, including verifying the legitimacy of quotes provided by the claimant in connection with their valuation statement, reviewing claimant’s “loss” calculation, and evaluating any set-off claims.² In their efforts to determine the Proposed Settlement Amount, the Debtors engage in, to the extent the holder is willing to so engage, lengthy negotiations with the holder of the Derivative Claim that are often very detailed and may extend over a period of months.

15. Once the Debtors have determined the Proposed Settlement Amount with respect to a particular Derivative Claim, it is presented to the Debtors’ Settlement Adjudication Committee (the “Committee”) for final approval. The Committee, comprised of senior management of the Debtors and Alvarez & Marsal,

¹ “Derivative Contract” is defined in the Bar Date Order as meaning “any contract that is of (i) a ‘swap agreement’ as such term is defined in section 101(53B) of the Bankruptcy Code or (ii) a ‘forward contract’ as such term is defined in section 101(25) of the Bankruptcy Code . . .” (See Bar Date Ord. at 6.)

² For a more comprehensive discussion of the valuation process, please see the Declaration of Gary H. Mandelblatt in Support of Debtors’ Motion Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form (attached as “Exhibit C” to Debtors’ Omnibus Reply to Objections to Motion of the Debtors, Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3), for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form [Docket No. 4113]).

reviews the work done to arrive at the Proposed Settlement Amount and approves or disapproves the Proposed Settlement Amount. If the Proposed Settlement Amount presented to the Committee is less than the amount included in the corresponding Derivative Claim, the Debtors will seek approval to object to such claim.

16. The Debtors have undertaken this lengthy process with respect to each of the Valued Derivative Claims listed on Exhibit A, and have concluded that a fair, accurate, and reasonable valuation of the Valued Derivative Claim is less than that reflected on the proof of claim submitted by the claimant. Despite the Debtors' efforts at negotiating this Proposed Settlement Amount, the Debtors and the holders of the Valued Derivative Claims have reached an impasse. Holders of the Valued Derivative Claims should not be allowed to recover more than the true and proper value of their claims. Accordingly, in order to properly reflect the fair, accurate, and reasonable value of these claims, the Debtors request that the Court reduce each Valued Derivative Claim to the amount listed on Exhibit A under the column heading "*Modified Amount*" and allow each such claim only to the extent of such modified amount.

Notice

17. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. The Debtors have served notice of this One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims on: (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the attorneys for the Creditors' Committee; (iii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iv) the Internal Revenue Service; (v) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (vi) the claimants listed on Exhibit A attached to this One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims; and (vii) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the

second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9635]. The Debtors submit that no other or further notice need be provided.

18. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: September 12, 2011
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J Lemons

Robert J. Lemons

Penny P. Reid

Ralph I. Miller

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153

Telephone: (212) 310-8000

Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

EXHIBIT A

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 191: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

ASSERTED							MODIFIED		
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT
1	BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON TRUST COMPANY, NA, THE AS TRUSTEE FOR THE MICHIGAN TOBACCO SETTLEMENT ASSET-BACKED BONDS SERIES 2007 ATTN: BRIDGET SCHESSLER 525 WILLIAM PENN PLACE, 7TH FLOOR PITTSBURGH, PA 15219	29721	09/22/2009	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$4,772,320.00	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$617,541.00
2	BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON TRUST COMPANY, THE NA, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE MICHIGAN TOBACCO SETTLEMENT ASSET-BACKED BONDS SERIES 2007 ATTN: BRIDGET SCHESSLER 525 WILLIAM PENN PLACE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219	29748	09/22/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$4,772,320.00	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$617,541.00

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 191: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

ASSERTED						MODIFIED			
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT
3	BANK SARASIN & CO LTD C/O BAR & KARRER AG ATTN: PETER HSU BRANDSCHENKESTRA SSE 90 8027 ZURICH, SWITZERLAND	22958	09/21/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$260,123.69	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$259,368.00
4	BUCKEYE TOBACCO SETTLEMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY, THE C/O THE OFFICE OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT ATTN: KURT KAUFFMAN, ASSISTANT SECRETARY 30 EAST BROAD ST., 34TH FLOOR COLUMBUS, OH 43215	22666	09/21/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$29,442,000.00*	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$6,425,787.66

* - Indicates claim contains unliquidated and/or undetermined amounts

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 191: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

			ASSERTED				MODIFIED		
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT
5	BUCKEYE TOBACCO SETTLEMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY, THE C/O THE OFFICE OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT ATTN: KURT KAUFFMAN, ASSISTANT SECRETARY 30 EAST BROAD ST., 34TH FLOOR COLUMBUS, OH 43215	22667	09/21/2009	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$29,442,000.00*	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$6,425,787.66
6	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA TOBACCO SETTLEMENT FINANCING CORPORATION C/O SHAWN R. FOX MCGUIREWOODS LLP 1345 AVE. OF THE AMERICAS, 7TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10105	17406	09/18/2009	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$35,952,062.50	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$2,718,179.71

* - Indicates claim contains unliquidated and/or undetermined amounts

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 191: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

ASSERTED						MODIFIED			
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT
7	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA TOBACCO SETTLEMENT FINANCING CORPORATION C/O SHAWN R. FOX MCGUIREWOODS LLP 1345 AVE. OF THE AMERICAS, 7TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10105	17418	09/18/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$35,952,062.50	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$2,718,179.71
8	DEER PARK ROAD CORPORATION ATTN: BRAD CRAIG 1875 SKI TIME SQUARE, SUITE 102 STEAMBOAT SPRING, CO 80477	32400	09/22/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$31,750.00	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$9,600.00
9	NUVEEN ENHANCED MULTI-STRATEGY INCOME MANAGED ACCOUNTS PORTFOLIO C/O NUVEEN ASSET MANAGEMENT ATTN: KEVIN MCCARTHY - GENERAL COUNSEL 333 WEST WACKER DRIVE, 33RD FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606	24092	09/21/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$12,647.45*	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$22,647.45

* - Indicates claim contains unliquidated and/or undetermined amounts

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 191: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

ASSERTED							MODIFIED		
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT
10	RUBICON MASTER FUND PO BOX 309 UGLAND HOUSE, GEORGE TOWN GRAND CAYMAN, CAYMAN ISLANDS	12697	09/15/2009	Lehman Brothers Commodity Services Inc.	Unsecured	\$190,254.25	Lehman Brothers Commodity Services Inc.	Unsecured	\$170,160.58
11	RUBICON MASTER FUND PO BOX 309 UGLAND HOUSE, GEORGE TOWN GRAND CAYMAN, CAYMAN ISLANDS	12698	09/15/2009	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$190,254.25	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$170,160.58
12	SOUTHERN PACIFIC SECURITIES 05-3 PLC CAPITAL TRUST COMPANY LIMITED ATTN: EMMA HAMLEY 7TH FLOOR, PHOENIX HOUSE 18 KING WILLIAM STREET LONDON, EC4N 7HE UNITED KINGDOM	28829	09/22/2009	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	Undetermined	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$149,082.72

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 191: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

ASSERTED						MODIFIED			
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT
13	SOUTHERN PACIFIC SECURITIES 05-3 PLC CAPITAL TRUST COMPANY LIMITED ATTN: EMMA HAMLEY 7TH FLOOR, PHOENIX HOUSE 18 KING WILLIAM STREET LONDON, EC4N 7HE UNITED KINGDOM	28830	09/22/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	Undetermined	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$149,082.72
14	T.O. HOLDINGS, LLC ATTN: CRAIG ABOLT 850 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022	29119	09/22/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$2,693,902.01	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$2,270,986.88
15	WASHINGTON STATE TOBACCO SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY C/O K&L GATES LLP ATTN: MARC L. BARRECA, ESQ 925 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 SEATTLE, WA 98104	37355	10/12/2009	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$47,063,714.01	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	Unsecured	\$4,325,931.16

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 191: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

ASSERTED							MODIFIED		
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT	DEBTOR	CLASS	AMOUNT
16	WASHINGTON STATE TOBACCO SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY C/O K&L GATES LLP ATTN: MARC L. BARRECA, ESQ 925 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900 SEATTLE, WA 98104	37356	10/12/2009	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$47,063,714.01	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$4,325,931.16
TOTAL						\$237,839,124.67	TOTAL		\$31,375,967.99

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' ONE HUNDRED NINETY-FIRST
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (VALUED DERIVATIVE CLAIMS)**

Upon the one hundred ninety-first omnibus objection to claims, dated September 12, 2011 (the "One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims"),¹ of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court's order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 6664] (the "Procedures Order"), seeking to reduce and allow the Valued Derivative Claims on the basis that the amounts listed on the proofs of claim are greater than the fair, accurate, and reasonable values determined by the Debtors after a review of the claimants' supporting documentation and the Debtors' books and records, as more fully described in the One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims having been provided to (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the attorneys for the Creditors' Committee; (iii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iv) the Internal Revenue Service; (v) the United States Attorney

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Debtors' One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims.

for the Southern District of New York; (vi) the claimants listed on Exhibit A attached to the One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims; and (vii) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9653]; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefore, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that each Valued Derivative Claim listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto is hereby modified and allowed in the amount set forth on Exhibit 1 under the column heading "Modified Amount"; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object and defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to any claim listed on Exhibit A to the One Hundred Ninety-First Omnibus Objection to Claims that does not appear on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2011
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE